

PEER REVIEW EVALUATION

Criteria and Description	Rating Scale				
	0 Not addressed	1 Does not meet standard	2 Somewhat meets standard	3 Meets standard	4 Exceeds standard
The participating institution and project key personnel has the capabilities, experience, qualifications, and credentials to manage the project.	0	1	2	3	4
The applicant has existing infrastructure and resources adequate to support their project's objectives.	0	1	2	3	4
The applicant has a site access plan and intellectual property framework that is adequate.	0	1	2	3	4
The applicant has demonstrated the validity of their project's proposed approach and the likelihood of its success, based on previous and current work	0	1	2	3	4
The project shows the ability to remove a technical, financial, or other barrier to improve the penetration of electrical renewable energy into the market, using reasonable costs that will benefit the State of Minnesota.	0	1	2	3	4
The project shows the ability to solve a technical issue related to electrical renewable energy.	0	1	2	3	4
The project shows the ability to advances science and/or technology that is a value to electric ratepayers	0	1	2	3	4
The project demonstrates how the expected research contribution will bring a technology to market.	0	1	2	3	4
The proposal identifies its key technical and programmatic risk areas and identify all foreseeable barriers to its success.	0	1	2	3	4
The applicant demonstrates the degree to which the proposal's objectives are reasonable and achievable within the project time frame	0	1	2	3	4
Does the work plan lead to achieving the projects goals.	0	1	2	3	4
The project management goals are adequate to mitigate risk.	0	1	2	3	4
The project demonstrates the degree to which the proposal comprehensively addresses key project implementation issues.	0	1	2	3	4

Total Points: _____

Peer Review Committee: (a majority must answer in the affirmative)

Criteria	Yes	No
Is the applicant eligible for the grant?		
Is all required information included in the proposal?		
Are all mandatory requirements met?		
Are all mandatory requirements met?		
Project is sufficiently discrete to produce known and measurable results prior to the end of the grant period		
Anticipated government approvals are identified and addressed		
Appropriate administrative approvals are included		
Plan for student engagement is clear and appropriate to the project scope		
Facilities issues are adequately addressed and appropriate approvals are included		
Local code compliance is addressed where appropriate		
Overall safety plan appears adequate for project		
College/University Facilities approval is included		

